

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/148,973

Applicant(s)

Greenamyre et al.

Examiner

Grace Hsu, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit

1627



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Grace Hsu, Ph.D., J.D.

(3) _____

(2) Kristina L. Konstas, Esq.

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 14, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-8 and newly presented claim 9, not entered as add'l claim w/o cancellation of finally rejected claims

Identification of prior art discussed:

none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

A suggestion was made to Ms. Konstas, Esq., attorney of record, that the claimed invention would be allowable if amendments were made to the claims such that: [1] the subject matter of claims 4 and 8 were incorporated into a new claim reciting the subject matter of pending claims 1 and 5, which recite subject matter of identical scope; and [2] with the cancellation of all other claims. Ms. Konstas did not adopt the aforementioned suggestion. In light of the foregoing, prosecution is resumed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1, above is also checked.

J. Venkat
DR. JYOTHSNA VENKAT PH.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.